Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer. Vol. 17, pp. 241–248. Pergamon Press 1974. Printed in Great Britain

CONVECTION IN A POROUS MEDIUM WITH HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS

JAN ERIK WEBER
Department of Mechanics, Matematisk Institutt, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo, Norway

(*Received* 19 *March* 1973 *and in revised form* 30 *July* 1973)

Abstract—The stability of convection in a horizontal porous layer subjected to horizontal as well as vertical temperature gradients is investigated. The boundaries are taken to be perfectly conducting and the horizontal temperature gradient is assumed to be small. The analysis shows that the critical Rayleigh number is always larger than for the ordinary Bénard problem in a porous medium. The preferred mode of disturbance is stationary, being longitudinal rolls, i.e. rolls having axes aligned in the direction of the basic flow. This particular mode minimizes the potential energy. Assuming that the initially preferred mode also dominates at supercritical Rayleigh numbers, a finite amplitude solution is obtained. The vertical heat flux is computed to second order. Compared with Bénard convection in a porous medium, the perturbation heat flux is diminished. The flux due to the basic flow is increased, however, so the total vertical heat flux is increased.

NOMENCLATURE

h, depth of porous medium;
d, characteristic grain diameter;
K, permeability of porous medium;
g, acceleration of gravity;
c_p, specific heat at constant temperature;
x, y, z, dimensionless Cartesian coordinates;
$\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$, unit vectors;
t, dimensionless time;
$\mathbf{v}(=u, v, w)$, dimensionless velocity vector;
$U(y)$, $T(y)$, $P(x, y)$, dimensionless basic flow velocity, temperature and pressure, respectively;
T, dimensionless temperature;
T_0^*, standard temperature;
ΔT^*, temperature difference between lower and upper plane;
p, dimensionless pressure;
H, dimensionless heat flux;
k, m, dimensionless wave numbers in the x and z direction;
$\nabla^2(=\partial^2/\partial y^2 + \nabla_1^2)$, Laplacian operator;
Δ, operator defined by (3.3);
L, operator defined by (A.1);
M, operator defined by (A.7);
Re, Reynolds number;
Pr, Prandtl number $\nu/\varkappa_m$;
Ra, Rayleigh number $Kg\gamma\Delta T^* h/\varkappa_m \nu$;
Ra_s^c, defined by (5.5);
A, amplitude of disturbance;
KE, F, defined by (4.2) and (4.4), respectively;
$\bar{U}$, defined by (3.8).

Greek letters

α, dimensionless overall wave number;
α_2^2, α_4^2, defined by (A.3) and (A.5), respectively;
β, dimensionless horizontal temperature gradient;
γ, coefficient of volume expansion;
ϵ, parameter defined by (5.3);
θ, dimensionless temperature;
$\varkappa_m(=\lambda_m/(c_p\rho)_f)$, thermal diffusivity;
λ_m, thermal conductivity;
ν, kinematic viscosity;
ρ, density;
ρ_0, standard density;
σ, amplification factor of disturbance;
ψ, potential defined by (3.3);
$\bar{\Theta}$, defined by (3.8).

Subscripts

f, fluid;
m, solid–fluid mixture;
v, vertical.

Superscripts

$*$, dimensional quantities;
$\hat{}$, perturbation quantities;
$\tilde{}$, y-dependent part of linear perturbations;
r, real part;
i, imaginary part;
c, critical.

1. INTRODUCTION

BUOYANCY driven convection in a porous medium has several important geophysical and technical applications. Thus, geothermal activities in certain areas of the world may be attributed to this phenomenon [1]. It also may be present in natural gas reservoirs [2].

Technically this phenomenon is important as it may occur in porous insulation of buildings, thereby increasing the loss of heat.

The present paper is concerned with free convection in a horizontal porous layer, where the ratio of height to length is small. When uniformly heated from below, this model has been investigated by several authors during the past thirty years or so. Especially in the last few years considerable efforts have been made in understanding this subject.

In a physical problem, however, strictly uniform heating generally does not occur. Thus, horizontal as well as vertical temperature gradients will be present. For thin viscous layers this problem has motivated some previous investigations, where various lateral heating conditions have been used. Most recently Weber [3] has made an analysis of this problem, assuming that the temperature varies linearly along the boundaries, while the vertical temperature difference is kept constant. In the present paper this model is applied to convection in a porous medium, leading to a nearly similar stability problem.

In the last part of the paper the analysis is extended to the nonlinear regime. Considering the initially preferred mode, a finite amplitude solution is obtained. The vertical heat flux is examined to second order, and the result is compared with ordinary porous convection due to uniform heating from below.

2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS AND BASIC SOLUTION

Consider natural three-dimensional convection in a porous medium which, for example, may be composed of closely packed grains, completely surrounded by a homogeneous fluid. The medium is bounded horizontally by two impermeable planes separated by a distance h, which is assumed to be small compared to the characteristic horizontal dimensions. As in [3] the boundaries are taken to be perfect heat conductors, and to have a linear temperature variation in the x^*-direction, see Fig. 1. For a given x^*-coordinate the temperature difference between the planes is constant, ΔT^*, and the lower plane is the warmer.



FIG. 1. Temperature distribution in the model. β^* is a positive constant.

We introduce dimensionless variables by choosing

$$h,\ (c_p\rho)_m h^2/\lambda_m,\ \kappa_m/h, \qquad \Delta T^*, \rho_0 \nu \kappa_m/K \tag{2.1}$$

as units of length, time, velocity, temperature and pressure, respectively.

Making the Boussinesq approximation, the governing equations may be written in dimensionless form

$$\nabla p + \boldsymbol{v} - Ra\,T\,\boldsymbol{j} = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

$$\partial T/\partial t + \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla T - \nabla^2 T = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

For details concerning the derivation of the heat equation in a porous medium, we refer to Katto and Masuoka [4].

The system (2.2)–(2.4) permits a particular, steady solution. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial/\partial t = v = w = 0 \\ &u = U(y), \qquad T = T(y) - \beta x \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

the governing equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} DU(y) &= \beta Ra \\ D^2 T(y) &= -\beta U(y) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$D = \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}y.$$

In a porous medium we have no restriction on the tangential velocity at a rigid boundary. However, the mass must be conserved, and hence

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\frac{1}{2}} U(y)\mathrm{d}y = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

For the temperature at the boundaries we must require

$$T(\pm\tfrac{1}{2}) = \mp\tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{2.8}$$

The solution of (2.6)–(2.8) is easily obtained, being

$$\begin{aligned} U(y) &= \beta Ra y \\ T(y) &= -y + \tfrac{1}{6}\beta^2 Ra(\tfrac{1}{4}y - y^3). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

This solution is valid asymptotically, i.e. when the ratio of the depth to the length approcahes zero.

Formally β and Ra are independent parameters. It is obvious, however, that the solution (2.9) is not stable for all values of these parameters. For example, when Ra is sufficiently increased, convection will occur, and a secondary flow develops. However, there is also another point which should not be overlooked. It is well known that for Darcy's law to be valid in its present form, the (particle) Reynolds number should not exceed unity.

We define a Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{U^*_{\max} d}{\nu} \tag{2.10}$$

where d is the characteristic (dimensional) grain diameter. Substituting $U^*_{\max} = \beta Ra \varkappa_m / 2h$ from (2.1) and (2.9), we get as a necessary condition for (2.9) to be valid that

$$\beta Ra < 2Pr\left(\frac{d}{h}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.11}$$

where Pr is the Prandtl number.

For the vertical heat flux due to the basic flow we obtain from (2.9)

$$H_v = -\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)_{y=-\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \tfrac{1}{12}\beta^2 Ra. \tag{2.12}$$

From this we notice that the presence of β increases the heat transfer.

3. PERTURBATION ANALYSIS

Perturbating the velocity, temperature and pressure fields, the resulting field variables may be written

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{v} &= U(y)\boldsymbol{i} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}(x, y, z, t) \\ \theta &= T(y) - \beta x + \hat{\theta}(x, y, z, t) \\ p &= P(x, y) + \hat{p}(x, y, z, t) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $P(x, y)$ is pressure in the basic flow.

From (2.2) we obtain

$$\nabla p + \boldsymbol{v} - Ra\theta \boldsymbol{j} = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

where the carets have been dropped. We observe that $\boldsymbol{j} \cdot (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{v}) = 0$. Since we also have $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$, the velocity is a poloidal vector and can be expressed by a single scalar function ψ as

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{j}\psi) \equiv \Delta\psi \tag{3.3}$$

or explicitly

$$\{u, v, w\} = \{\psi_{xy}, -\nabla_1^2\psi, \psi_{yz}\} \tag{3.4}$$

where ∇_1^2 is the two-dimensional Laplacian.

From (3.2) the perturbation temperature is given by

$$\theta = -\frac{1}{Ra}\nabla^2\psi. \tag{3.5}$$

Introducing ψ into the heat equation, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^4\psi + Ra\nabla_1^2\psi &= \nabla^2\psi_t + \beta Ra[\bar{U}\nabla^2\psi_x + \psi_{xy}] \\ &\quad + \beta^2 Ra^2 D\bar{\Theta}\nabla_1^2\psi + \Delta\psi \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where the operator Δ is defined by (3.3), and the boundary conditions being that

$$\psi = \nabla^2\psi = 0 \qquad \text{for } y = \pm\tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Further we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U} &\equiv U(y)/\beta Ra = y \\ \bar{\Theta} &\equiv (T(y) + y)/\beta^2 Ra = \tfrac{1}{6}(\tfrac{1}{4}y - y^3). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

For $\beta = 0$, (3.6) reduces to the equation for ordinary Bénard convection in a porous medium, a problem which is well known. The inclusion of a horizontal temperature gradient, however, complicates the problem considerably. In the present paper we shall therefore restrict ourselves by assuming that β is a small parameter. As usually in problems of this type, we consider infinitesimal perturbations. Neglecting terms of order ψ^2 in (3.6), and introducing

$$\psi = \tilde{\psi}(y)\exp(i(kx + mz) + \sigma t) \tag{3.9}$$

where k and m are real wave numbers in the x- and z-direction, respectively, and $\sigma = \sigma^r + i\sigma^i$ is the complex growth rate, the perturbation equation may be written

$$\begin{aligned} \{(D^2 - \alpha^2)^2 - \alpha^2 Ra^c\}\tilde{\psi} &= \sigma(D^2 - \alpha^2)\tilde{\psi} \\ &\quad + ik\beta Ra^c\{\bar{U}(D^2 - \alpha^2) + D\}\tilde{\psi} - (\alpha\beta Ra^c)^2 D\bar{\Theta}\tilde{\psi} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

to be solved subject to

$$\tilde{\psi} = D^2\tilde{\psi} = 0 \qquad \text{for } y = \pm\tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Here α is the horizontal overall wave number defined by $\alpha^2 = k^2 + m^2$, and Ra^c the critical Rayleigh number corresponding to the onset of convection.

The solutions will be obtained by a series expansion after β as a small parameter, as in [3]. This procedure is analogous to those previously applied in [5] for convection in Couette flow and in [6] for convection in a tilted slot.

We introduce the series expansions

$$\tilde{\psi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \tilde{\psi}_n, \qquad Ra^c = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n R_n, \qquad k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n k_n,$$

$$m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n m_n, \qquad \sigma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \sigma_n \tag{3.12}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_n = D^2\tilde{\psi}_n = 0$ for $y = \pm\frac{1}{2}$.

By substituting these expansions into (3.10) and equating equal powers of β, an infinite set of inhomogeneous differential equations is obtained. $R_1, R_2, R_3, \ldots$ are found from the solvability conditions for these equations, and the wave number terms $k_0, m_0, k_1, m_1 \ldots$ are determined so that they minimize the critical Rayleigh number.

We may do some preliminary simplifying observations. Changing the sign of β in (2.5) merely leads to a reverse of the direction of the basic flow. Physically this cannot alter the stability conditions, i.e. the critical Rayleigh number and the corresponding wave number. Hence Ra^c, k and m should not contain odd powers of β, or

$$R_{2i+1} = k_{2i+1} = m_{2i+1} = 0, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (3.13)$$

We consider the transition from stable to unstable solutions. This transition goes through a neutral state, characterized by $\sigma^r = 0$. Generally we cannot prove that the principle of exchanges of stabilities (PES) is valid, i.e. that the neutrally stable solutions are stationary. However, when β is small enough for the series (3.12) to converge, this can be proved. For the zero-order system ($\beta = 0$), PES is obviously valid, implying $\sigma_0^i = 0$. Further the solution must be even, since the boundary conditions are. Owing to the uneven character of the operator $(\bar{U}(D^2 - \alpha^2) + D)$ appearing on the right of (3.10), and the fact that Ra^c must be real, we immediately obtain from the solvability condition in the following orders that $\sigma_1^i = 0, \sigma_2^i = 0$ and so on. Hence oscillatory instability does not occur, and we may put $\sigma = 0$ in (3.10).

The set of equations obtained from (3.10) with β as ordering parameter are given in the appendix.

The zeroth-order system corresponds to convection without shear, and the solution may be written

$$\tilde{\psi}_0 = A \cos \pi y \quad (3.14)$$

giving a minimum Rayleigh number

$$R_0 = 4\pi^2 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha_0^2 = k_0^2 + m_0^2 = \pi^2. \quad (3.15)$$

The zeroth-order system is easily shown to be self-adjoint. Hence the condition for the higher order equations to have a non-trivial solution may be stated as

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}_0 L \tilde{\psi}_n \rangle = 0, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \quad (3.16)$$

where the brackets denote integration from $y = -\frac{1}{2}$ to $y = +\frac{1}{2}$, and the operator L is defined by (A.1).

In order to avoid the arbitrary homogeneous solution which always can be added in each order, we choose as a normalization condition

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}_0 \tilde{\psi} \rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}. \quad (3.17)$$

Hence, from (3.14), $A = 1$.

From (A.2) the evaluation of the first order solution is straightforward, giving

$$\tilde{\psi}_1 = i\frac{k_0}{2}\left[-\frac{\pi}{4}\sin \pi y + y \cos \pi y + \pi y^2 \sin \pi y\right]. \quad (3.18)$$

Applying the solvability condition to the second-order equation (A.3), we obtain

$$R_2 = 4\pi^2 + 3k_0^2. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus we observe that a disturbance given by $k_0 = 0$, and hence $m_0 = \pi$, minimizes R_2. This particular disturbance defines a longitudinal roll. Then, in a physical problem, as the critical Rayleigh number is approached from below, a longitudinal roll first starts to grow exponentially. Accordingly it constitutes the preferred mode among the infinite number initially present.

Unfortunately, the first term on the right-hand side in (A.3), being proportional to α_2^2, vanishes identically. We therefore must proceed to fourth order to obtain a correction on the critical wave number.

Substituting (3.19) into (A.3), we may calculate $\tilde{\psi}_2$, which is an elementary, but lengthy task. The result is given in the appendix.

Since we already have shown that longitudinal rolls will be preferred, it is physically relevant to put $k_0 = 0$ in the remaining analysis. This means $\tilde{\psi}_1 = 0$. The third-order equation then reduces to that previously derived in first order when substituting k_2 for k_0. Accordingly the solution may be written

$$\tilde{\psi}_3 = \frac{ik_2}{2}\left[-\frac{\pi}{4}\sin \pi y + y \cos \pi y + \pi y^2 \sin \pi y\right]. \quad (3.20)$$

Applying now the solvability condition (3.16) to the fourth-order equation (A.5), we obtain after some algebra

$$R_4 = 4m_2^2 + R_0^2\left[\frac{\pi^2}{360} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\left(31 - \frac{7\pi^2}{3}\right) - \frac{3}{16(\surd 3)\pi}\,\mathrm{tgh}\,(\pi(\surd 3)/2)\right]. \quad (3.21)$$

From this it follows that R_4 has a minimum for $m_2 = 0$. Accordingly, the critical Rayleigh number to fourth order may be written

$$Ra^c = 4\pi^2(1 + \beta^2 + 1{\cdot}73\beta^4 + \ldots) \quad (3.22)$$

and the critical wave numbers

$$k = 0(\beta^2)$$
$$m = \pi + 0(\beta^4). \quad (3.23)$$

We observe that Ra^c is always larger than for ordinary convection in a porous medium. Physically this is due to the presence of warm fluid above cold fluid in the basic flow.

4. ENERGY CONSIDERATIONS

In order to gain some physical insight into why longitudinal rolls should be preferred, we consider the equation for the kinetic energy of the perturbation. In a porous medium shear instabilities do not occur owing to the lack of inertial terms in the equation for momentum transfer. The mechanism selecting the preferred mode must then be purely thermal.

Taking the real part of (3.2), multiplying by the real part of $\boldsymbol{v}$, averaging over a wave length in the x- and z-directions, and integrating from $y = -\frac{1}{2}$ to $y = +\frac{1}{2}$, using the boundary conditions, we readily obtain

$$\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{v}^2} \rangle = Ra \langle \overline{v\theta} \rangle \qquad (4.1)$$

where the bar and the brackets denote mean and vertical integration, respectively.

This equation expresses a balance in the perturbation energy between the gain from potential energy and the loss by the viscous dissipation. In a porous medium, however, the latter is directly proportional to the averaged kinetic energy of the perturbation. Hence we may write

$$\mathrm{KE} \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{v}^2} \rangle = \tfrac{1}{2} Ra \langle \overline{v\theta} \rangle = \tfrac{1}{2} \langle \overline{\nabla_1^2 \psi \nabla^2 \psi} \rangle \qquad (4.2)$$

where we have substituted from (3.4) and (3.5).

To second order in the marginal stable solutions, the above expression reduces to

$$\mathrm{KE} = \frac{\pi^4}{4} - \beta^2 \frac{\pi^2}{4} \langle \tilde{\psi}_1^i (D^2 - \pi^2) \tilde{\psi}_1^i \rangle. \qquad (4.3)$$

From (3.18) it follows that $\tilde{\psi}_1^i$ may be written

$$\tilde{\psi}_1^i = k_0 F(y) \quad \text{where} \quad F(\pm\tfrac{1}{2}) = D^2 F(\pm\tfrac{1}{2}) = 0. \qquad (4.4)$$

Accordingly

$$\mathrm{KE} = \frac{\pi^4}{4} + \beta^2 \frac{\pi^2}{4} k_0^2 \langle (DF)^2 + \pi^2 F^2 \rangle. \qquad (4.5)$$

The last term is obviously positive. Hence we may conclude that, among all marginally stable solutions, the preferred mode ($k_0 = 0$) will have minimum kinetic energy (or, more precisely, minimum dissipation). Since KE is directly proportional to the released potential energy, we further conclude that the preferred mode is characterized by minimum potential energy. Equivalently, that particular mode which involves least possible energy conversion, will be selected.

5. FINITE AMPLITUDE SOLUTION

In the previous sections we have demonstrated that a preferred mode of disturbance is predicted from linear theory. Since this particular disturbance is the fastest growing, it also will dominate the motion at slightly supercritical Rayleigh numbers, suppressing the growth of other unstable modes in this region. Accordingly, we look for a stationary solution of the nonlinear problem considering longitudinal modes only.

Setting $\partial/\partial t = \partial/\partial x = 0$, (3.6) reduces to

$$\nabla^4 \psi + Ra \nabla_1^2 \psi = \beta^2 Ra^2 D\bar{\Theta} \nabla_1^2 \psi + \Delta\psi \cdot \nabla\nabla^2 \psi. \qquad (5.1)$$

This equation will be solved by a two-parameter expansion, and the solution may be written

$$\psi = \sum_{m=1, n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m \beta^n \psi^{(mn)} \qquad (5.2)$$

provided the series converge. Since β appears only as squared in (5.1), the summation can be taken over even n. The parameter ϵ will be defined by

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{Ra - Ra^c}{Ra} \qquad (5.3)$$

which is analogous to the definition originally proposed by Kuo [7] for a similar problem. In the present case, however, Ra^c is a function of β, given by (3.22). We note that ϵ is always less than one.

Equation (5.3) may also be written

$$Ra = \frac{Ra^c}{1 - \epsilon^2} = Ra^c + Ra_s^c(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^4 + \ldots + \epsilon^{2s}) \qquad (5.4)$$

where

$$Ra_s^c = Ra^c/(1 - \epsilon^{2s}). \qquad (5.5)$$

When solving to second order, we choose $s = 1$, to fourth order $s = 2$ and so on. By writing Ra as a "finite" sum, we are, to every order, working with a correct Rayleigh number. It appears that this procedure highly improves the convergence of the solution (Kuo [7], Palm *et al.* [8]).

Substituting the expansions (5.2) and (5.4) into (5.1) and using ϵ and β as ordering parameters, we obtain an infinite set of equations. In this procedure ϵ and β appear as given small parameters. Expanding the amplitude A of the solution after ϵ and β, the A_{mn} will be determined at each order so as to satisfy the solvability conditions.

To order ϵ^1, β^0 the y-dependence of the solution is given by (3.14). For a longitudinal roll we then write

$$\psi^{(10)} = A_{10} \cos \pi y \cos \pi z \qquad (5.6)$$

where we have chosen $m = \pi$, since this is the physically relevant wave number for $Ra > Ra^c$.

The solution to order ϵ^2, β^0 is easily obtained (see the appendix for details). From (A.7) we find

$$\psi^{(20)} = A_{20}\cos\pi y\cos\pi z + \frac{\pi A_{10}^2}{16}\sin 2\pi y. \quad (5.7)$$

The result to order ϵ^6, β^0 has in fact been computed in [8].

The unknown amplitudes are determined from the solvability condition, giving

$$A_{10} = \frac{4}{\pi}\left(\frac{R_{0s}}{R_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad A_{20} = 0 \quad (5.8)$$

where $R_{0s} = R_0/(1 - \epsilon^{2s})$.

In the present paper we study the change of the vertical heat transport due to the inclusion of a small horizontal temperature gradient. By averaging the stationary heat equation (2.4) and utilizing that $\mathbf{v}$ is periodic, i.e. $\bar{v} = 0$, we obtain by integration

$$\hat{H} = -(D\bar{\theta})_{y=-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (5.9)$$

where $\hat{H}$ is the perturbation heat flux. Accordingly the total vertical heat flux may be written

$$H = H_v + \hat{H} = 1 + \frac{1}{12}\beta^2 Ra + \frac{1}{Ra}(D^3\bar{\psi})_{y=-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (5.10)$$

where we have substituted for H_v and θ from (2.12) and (3.5), respectively.

In [8] the Nusselt number (which corresponds to $H(\beta = 0)$) has been obtained to sixth order. However, when the horizontal temperature dependence is taken into account, the inhomogeneous differential equations derived in each order, very soon become unsuitable for analytical treatment. We shall therefore not push the computations further than necessary to obtain a correction on the second-order heat flux. To achieve this goal, we must solve the system of equations to order ϵ^3, β^2.

To order ϵ^1, β^2 the equation is identical to (A.3). Including the z-dependence and adding a homogeneous solution, we may write

$$\psi^{(12)} = A_{12}\cos\pi y\cos\pi z + A_{10}\tilde{\psi}_2\cos\pi z \quad (5.11)$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_2$ is given by (A.6) with $k_0 = 0$.

Having in mind the large number of terms in $\psi^{(12)}$, the evaluation of $\psi^{(22)}$ from (A.8) obviously is a long and tedious task. However, it can be shown that $\tilde{\psi}_2$ is approximated within a few percent by the first term in a rapidly converging series expansion $\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{2n+1}\cos(2n+1)\pi y$. It turns out that $c_3 = -\frac{1}{32}$. Accordingly we take

$$\psi^{(12)} = A_{12}\cos\pi y\cos\pi z - \frac{A_{10}}{32}\cos 3\pi y\cos\pi z \quad (5.12)$$

in the following analysis.

By substituting (5.12) into (A.8), we get

$$\psi^{(22)} = A_{22}\cos\pi y\cos\pi z + \frac{\pi}{8}\left(A_{10}A_{12} - \frac{A_{10}^2}{32}\right) \times \sin 2\pi y - \frac{\pi A_{10}^2}{128}\left[\tfrac{1}{4}\sin 4\pi y + \tfrac{1}{3}\sin 2\pi y\cos 2\pi z + \tfrac{1}{12}\sin 4\pi y\cos 2\pi z\right] \quad (5.13)$$

where we have utilized that $A_{20} = 0$.

Applying the solvability condition to order ϵ^3, β^2, see (A.9), we finally obtain

$$A_{12} = -\tfrac{7}{16}A_{10}. \quad (5.14)$$

The fact that A_{10} and A_{12} have opposite sign, means that the horizontal temperature gradient acts to diminish the magnitude of the velocity. Physically this is due to the stabilizing configuration in the basic flow, where the average density in the upper part is less than in the lower.

The perturbation heat flux to this order may now be written

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{Ra}\left[\epsilon^2 D^3\bar{\psi}^{(20)} + \epsilon^2\beta^2 D^3\bar{\psi}^{(22)}\right]_{y=-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.15)$$

Substitution from (5.8), (5.13) and (5.14) yields

$$\hat{H} = 2\left(\frac{R_{0s}}{Ra}\right)\left(1 - \tfrac{11}{16}\beta^2\right)\epsilon^2. \quad (5.16)$$

From this we observe that the presence of β reduces the perturbation heat transport. However, as noted from (2.12), β serves to increase the heat transfer due to the basic flow. In total the latter effect predominates,



FIG. 2. The total vertical heat flux H vs Ra for various values of (dimensionless) β.

and hence the heat flux is increased. This becomes clear from Fig. 2 where $H = H_v + \hat{H}$ is plotted against Ra for various values of β. We then conclude that the introduction of a small horizontal temperature gradient into the classic Bénard problem leads to an increase of the total vertical heat flux. In the calculation of $\hat{H}$ we have used $s = 1$, which gives the best approximation to this order. The breaks in the slope of the heat transport curves in Fig. 2 indicate when convection commences, and the figure clearly exhibits the stabilizing effect of β, as mentioned in section 3.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

According to the results presented above, the Rayleigh number at the neutral state will have a minimum value for steady longitudinal rolls with axes aligned in the direction of the basic flow. The critical Rayleigh number will always be larger than that corresponding to convection with uniform heating from below. These conclusions are similar to those reached in [3] for a viscous fluid in the limit of infinite Prandtl number.

The instability is of thermal origin, and among the marginally stable solutions the preferred mode has minimum potential energy.

Assuming that the initially preferred mode also dominates at moderately supercritical Rayleigh numbers, a stationary finite amplitude solution is obtained. The vertical heat flux is examined to second order, and a small horizontal temperature gradient β is found to diminish the vertical perturbation heat transport. The heat transfer due to the basic flow is increased, however, so the total vertical heat flux is an increasing function of β.

Before closing, we note that by working with supercritical Rayleigh numbers, rolls having axes tilted a small angle to the basic flow become linearly unstable. Such modes may be considered as perturbations to our stationary solution. When β is zero, it can be shown analogously to [9] that the stationary roll is stable. For non-zero β the stability problem becomes more complex, and should probably be attacked numerically. This will be left for future work, however.

Finally we remark that the inclusion of lateral side-walls may influence the selection of mode. Presumably an increase of the aspect ratio (height to length) should favour transverse rolls, i.e. rolls with axes normal to the basic flow.

Acknowledgement—The author is grateful to Professor E. Palm for valuable discussions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Wooding, Steady state free thermal convection of liquid in a saturated permeable medium, *J. Fluid Mech.* **2**, 273 (1957).
2. M. Combarnous and K. Aziz, Influence de la convection naturelle dans les reservoirs d'huile ou de gaz, *Rev. Inst. Francais Petrole* **25**, 1335 (1970).
3. J. E. Weber, On thermal convection between non-uniformly heated planes, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **16**, 961 (1973).
4. Y. Katto and T. Masuoka, Criterion for the onset of convective flow in a fluid in a porous medium, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **10**, 297 (1967).
5. A. P. Ingersoll, Convective instabilities in plane Couette flow, *Physics Fluids* **9**, 682 (1966).
6. S. F. Liang and A. Acrivos, Stability of buoyancy-driven convection in a tilted slot, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **13**, 449 (1970).
7. H. L. Kuo, Solution of the non-linear equations of cellular convection and heat transport, *J. Fluid Mech.* **10**, 611 (1961).
8. E. Palm, J. E. Weber and O. Kvernvold, On steady convection in a porous medium, *J. Fluid Mech.* **54**, 521 (1972).
9. A. Schlüter, D. Lortz and F. H. Busse, On the stability of steady finite amplitude convection, *J. Fluid Mech.* **23**, 129 (1965).

APPENDIX

By substituting (3.12) into (3.10), we derive the following set of equations

$$O(\beta^0): L\tilde{\psi}_0 \equiv \{(D^2 - \alpha_0^2)^2 - \alpha_0^2 R_0\}\tilde{\psi}_0 = 0 \quad \text{(A.1)}$$

$$O(\beta^1): L\tilde{\psi}_1 = ik_0 R_0\{\bar{U}(D^2 - \alpha_0^2) + D\}\tilde{\psi}_0 \quad \text{(A.2)}$$

$$O(\beta^2): L\tilde{\psi}_2 = \{\alpha_2^2(2(D^2 - \alpha_0^2) + R_0) + \alpha_0^2 R_2 - \alpha_0^2 R_0^2 D\bar{\Theta}\}\tilde{\psi}_0 + ik_0 R_0\{\bar{U}(D^2 - \alpha_0^2) + D\}\tilde{\psi}_1 \quad \text{(A.3)}$$

where $\alpha_2^2 = 2(k_0 k_2 + m_0 m_2)$.

Assuming $k_0 = 0$ (longitudinal rolls) we further obtain

$$O(\beta^3): L\tilde{\psi}_3 = ik_2 R_0\{\bar{U}(D^2 - \alpha_0^2) + D\}\tilde{\psi}_0 \quad \text{(A.4)}$$

$$O(\beta^4): L\tilde{\psi}_4 = \{2\alpha_4^2(D^2 - \alpha_0^2) + \alpha_4^2 R_0 - \alpha_2^4 + \alpha_2^2 R_2 + \alpha_0^2 R_4 - \alpha_2^2 R_0^2 D\bar{\Theta} - 2\alpha_0^2 R_0 R_2 D\bar{\Theta}\}\tilde{\psi}_0 + \{2\alpha_2^2(D^2 - \alpha_0^2) + \alpha_2^2 R_0 + \alpha_0^2 R_2 - \alpha_0^2 R_0^2 D\bar{\Theta}\}\tilde{\psi}_2 \quad \text{(A.5)}$$

where now $\alpha_0^2 = m_0^2 = \pi^2$, $\alpha_2^2 = 2m_0 m_2$, $\alpha_4^2 = k_2^2 + m_2^2 + 2m_0 m_4$ and $R_2 = R_0 = 4\pi^2$.

These equations are subject to the boundary conditions $\tilde{\psi}_n = D^2\tilde{\psi}_n = 0$ at $y = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. The solutions of (A.1) and (A.2) are given by (3.14) and (3.18), respectively, while (A.3) is evaluated to give

$$\tilde{\psi}_2 = a_1 \cos \pi y + a_2 \cosh[\pi(\sqrt{3})y] + a_3 y \sin \pi y + a_4 y^2 \cos \pi y + a_5 y^3 \sin \pi y + a_6 y^4 \cos \pi y \quad \text{(A.6)}$$

where

$$a_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{3}{4} + \frac{k_0^2}{16}\left(\frac{7\pi^2}{120} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{7}{\pi^2}\right);$$

$$a_2 = -\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{k_0^2}{8\pi}\right)/\cosh\left[\pi(\sqrt{3})/2\right];$$

$$a_3 = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi^3}{12} - \frac{k_0^2}{8\pi}\left(2 - \frac{\pi^2}{2}\right);$$

$$a_4 = -\pi^2 - \frac{k_0^2}{16}(2 + \pi^2);$$

$$a_5 = -\frac{\pi^3}{3} - \frac{k_0^2\pi}{4}; \qquad a_6 = \frac{k_0^2\pi^2}{8}.$$

By substituting (5.2), where $\psi^{(mn)} = \nabla^2\psi^{(mn)} = 0$ at $y = \mp\frac{1}{2}$, into (5.1), we obtain

$$0(\epsilon^2, \beta^0): M\psi^{(20)} \equiv (\nabla^4 + R_0\nabla_1^2)\psi^{(20)} = \Delta\psi^{(10)} \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi^{(10)} \quad \text{(A.7)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0(\epsilon^2, \beta^2): M\psi^{(22)} = {} & (-R_2 + R_0^2 D\bar{\Theta})\nabla_1^2\psi^{(20)} \\ & + \Delta\psi^{(10)} \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi^{(12)} \\ & + \Delta\psi^{(12)} \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi^{(10)} \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.8)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0(\epsilon^3, \beta^2): M\psi^{(32)} = {} & (-R_{2s} + 2R_0R_{0s}D\bar{\Theta})\nabla_1^2\psi^{(10)} \\ & - R_{0s}\nabla_1^2\psi^{(12)} + (-R_2 + R_0^2 D\bar{\Theta})\nabla_1^2\psi^{(30)} \\ & + \Delta\psi^{(10)} \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi^{(22)} + \Delta\psi^{(22)} \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi^{(10)} \\ & + \Delta\psi^{(12)} \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi^{(20)} \\ & + \Delta\psi^{(20)} \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\psi^{(12)} \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.9)}$$

where $R_{2s} = R_{0s} = R_0/(1 - \epsilon^{2s})$.

The solutions of (A.7) and (A.8) are given by (5.7) and (5.13), respectively.

CONVECTION DANS UN MILIEU POREUX AVEC DES GRADIENTS DE TEMPERATURE HORIZONTAUX ET VERTICAUX

Résumé—On étudie la stabilité de la convection dans une couche poreuse horizontale soumise aussi bien à des gradients de température horizontaux que verticaux. Les frontières sont choisies parfaitement conductrices et on suppose petit le gradient de température horizontal. L'analyse montre que le nombre de Rayleigh critique est toujours plus grand que dans le problème ordinaire de Bénard dans un milieu poreux. Le mode préfére de perturbation est stationnaire, avec des rouleaux longitudinaux, ayant des axes alignés dans la direction de l'écoulement de base. Ce mode particulier minimise l'énergie potentielle. En supposant que le mode préféré initialement est aussi dominant aux nombres de Rayleigh supercritiques, on obtient une solution à amplitude finie. Le flux de chaleur vertical est calculé au second ordre. Comparé à la convection de Bénard dans un milieu poreux, le flux thermique de perturbation est diminué. Le flux dû à l'écoulement de base est augmenté de telle sorte qu'il en résulte un flux thermique vertical accru.

KONVEKTION IN EINEM PORÖSEN MEDIUM MIT HORIZONTALER UND VERTIKALER TEMPERATURÄNDERUNG

Zusammenfassung— Es wird die Stabilität der Konvektion in einer horizontalen porösen Schicht untersucht, die sowohl von horizontalen als auch von vertikalen Temperaturänderungen abhängig ist. Die Ränder sollen vollkommen leitend sein und die horizontale Temperaturänderung soll klein sein. Die Analysis zeigt, dass die kritische Rayleigh-Zahl immer grösser ist als die für das gewöhnliche Benard-Problem in einem porösen Medium. Die bevorzugte Art der Strömung ist stationär, da es sich um Longitudinal-Wirbel handelt, d.h. Wirbel deren Achsen in Grundströmungsrichtung liegen.

Diese besondere Art bringt die potentielle Energie auf ein Minimum. Vorausgesetzt, dass die anfangs bevorzugte Art auch bei superkritischen Rayleigh-Zahlen dominiert, erhält man eine endliche Amplituden-Lösung. Der vertikale Wärmestrom wird bis zur zweiten Ordnung berechnet. Verglichen mit der Benard-Konvektion in einem porösen Medium wird der Strömungswärmestrom vermindert. Ist jedoch der Strom infolge der Basisströmung verstärkt, so wird die gesamte vertikale Wärmeströmung verstärkt.

КОНВЕКЦИЯ В ПОРИСТОЙ СРЕДЕ С ГОРИЗОНТАЛЬНЫМИ И ВЕРТИКАЛЬНЫМИ ГРАДИЕНТАМИ ТЕМПЕРАТУРЫ

Аннотация—Исследуется устойчивость конвекции в горизонтальном пористом слое при наличии как горизонтальных, так и вертикальных градиентов температуры. Границы считаются идеально проводящими, а горизонтальный градиент температуры предполагается незначительным. Анализ показывает, что критическое число Релея в этом случае всегда больше, чем в случае простой задачи Бенера для порситой среды. Преобладает стационарный режим возмущений в виде продольных валов, т.е. валов, оси которых направлены вдоль основного течения. Именно при таком виде течений потенциальная энергия минимальна. В предположении, что этот режим также преобладает при сверхкритических числах Релея, получено решение для конечной амплитуды. Вертикальный тепловой поток рассчитан до второго порядка. По сравнению с конвекцией Бенара в пористой среде возмущенный тепловой поток в данном случае слабее. Однако тепловой поток вследствие основного течения возрастает, что дает больший суммарный тепловой поток.